IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 19670 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 12.12.2006

Kamaljeet Kaur ....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others ....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. Arun Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

J.S. Khehar, J. (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has impugned the order dated 19.10.2006 by filing the instant writ petition. The order dated 19.10.2006 is extracted hereunder for ready reference:-

> " Keeping in view the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Chandigarh dated 24/8/2006 in Civil Misc.No.13656 of 2006 in CWP No.11075 of 2006 of Smt. Baljeet Kaur V/S Pb. State, the pay of Smt. Baljeet Kaur Multipurpose Health Worker (F) posted at Civil Dispensary No.10-Mohali may be drawn against the regular post of M.P.H.W.(F) of Civil Dispensary No.10, Mohali. The pay of Smt. Kawaljeet Kaur Multipurpose Health Worker(s) of Civil Dispensary No.10 ,

Mohali may please be claimed from Primary Health Centre, Boothgarh, Distt. Mohali against the vacant post of Multipurpose Health Worker(F).

These orders will come into force with immediate effect.

Dated:19/10/2006. Rana Harinder,

Director Health Services F.W.Pb."

The principal prayer made by the petitioner, so as to impugn the aforesaid order, is also being extracted hereunder:-

"a) a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 19.10.2006 Annexure P/1 being illegal, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice.

b) a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the salary of the petitioner from Phase-10, Mohali where the petitioner is working."

A perusal of the prayers made by the petitioner reveals, that the solitary grievance of the petitioner is that she should be disbursed her salary from Mohali and not from Boothgarh, in terms of the impugned order dated 19.10.2006. In this behalf, the vehement contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is, that it would be extremely arduous for the petitioner to draw her salary from Boothgarh as the same would cause inconvenience to the petitioner.

We have examined the claim of the petitioner in its totality. Mohali is a newly carved out district. It is a small district. Boothgarh is not at a great distance from the place of posting of the petitioner. In view of the above, we are satisfied, that there would be hardly any inconvenience to the petitioner if she draws her salary from Boothgarh.

For the reasons recorded above, we find no merit in this writ petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

(S.D. Anand)
Judge

December 12, 2006.
vig